

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/647,657	08/25/2003	William Cohn	301492.1047-119	2131
207	590 08/23/2005		EXAMINER	
WEINGARTEN, SCHURGIN, GAGNEBIN & LEBOVICI LLP			JACKSON, GARY	
	TEN POST OFFICE SQUARE BOSTON, MA 02109			PAPER NUMBER
			3731	

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\mathcal{M}			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/647,657	COHN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Gary Jackson	3731			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, poeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	03 June 2005.				
		This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for all		ters, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice und					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applica	ition.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Example 1.	miner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docur					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bu	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a	·				
Attachmen	t(s)	Lea	ny Jacks			
			U			
1) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S	4) 🔲 Interview 3	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to applicants' amendment filed June 3, 2005. Claims 1-14 are pending in this application. The amendment and arguments have been considered, however, they are not deeming to be persuasive so as to place the application in condition for allowance.

Double Patenting

Claims 1-14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-32 of U.S. Patent No. 6,610,071. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 1 is broaden by removing a method step of particularly placing the suture through a cuff in the prosthetic device. It would have been within the purview of one having ordinary skill in the art to broaden claim 1, by not inserting the suture into the cuff per se.

Allowance of this claim would extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent claim 1. Likewise claim 2, it would have been within the purview of one having ordinary skill in the art to broaden the suturing device by removing the plurality suture pads.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meshalkin (Soviet Union 513,696) in view Phillips (US Patent 4,932,965), Ovil (US Patent 4,702,250) and Goldberg (US 2,591,063). Meshalkin discloses a suturing device substantially as recited, however it lacks clear recitations of visual indicators for identification purposes. Phillips suggests color-coding strands so as to be distinguishable from each when being tied together. Ovil further suggest color-coding to visually distinguish the sutures. Phillips teaches color-coding strands used for securing artificial valves as disclosed by applicants. Goldberg teaches using a double strand suture/needle for suturing and teaches that the double strands maybe visually distinguishable. Meshalkin discloses a suturing device adapted to secure portions of tissue together. The patent to Phillips recognizes the same problem of identifying sutures as the applicant and solves the problem by color-coding the sutures. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide Meshalkin with visual distinguishable sutures as taught by the secondary references. It further would have been obvious to secure the valve disclosed in Phillips with a color-coded double stranded suture of Meshalkin, Ovil, Goldberg and Phillips combination.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed June 3, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The combination of references reasonably suggests a method of suturing a prosthetic valve with a color-coded double stranded suture. The base reference, Meshalkin discloses a double stranded suture adapted to secure a prosthetic

device. The combination of references reasonably suggests color-coding sutures. It would have been within the purview of one having ordinary skill in the art to color coded each of the suture sections of the double strand so as to readily identify said sections.

Page 4

The examiner believes the action is proper and therefore made final.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Jackson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4697. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. 7:30 am to 6:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/647,657

Art Unit: 3731

657 Page 5

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8000.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary Jackson Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3731 Lary Joeks

gj

August 20, 2005